Proposals for Capital Projects Greater than £25,000 Appendix C(2) (For inclusion in the draft Capital Programme for the financial years 2009/10-2011/12)

1	Service	New Communities Portfolio – Community Development						
2	Service Manager	Jane Thompson						
3	Brief Details of Proposal	Community Facilities Grants						
4	Financial Year in which	2000/10	2010/11	2011/12	Total gross			
expenditure is expected to be incurred		2009/10	2010/11	2011/12	cost			
5	Costs	£100,000	£100,000	£100,000	£300,000			
6	What is the estimated life expectancy of the asset related to the proposal?	The expected life of most community buildings is at least 35 years. The expected life of outdoor play equipment is estimated at 10 years.						
7	What benefit will service users or residents experience as a result of the expenditure?	New and improved community facilities help improve the quality of village life and provide the opportunity for a wide range of community activities. From 07/8 these grants also cover community mini bus projects, which will directly address Aim C, Approach iv.						
8	How many individuals/properties will benefit from the expenditure?	Potentially all residents living in any village receiving grant aid will enjoy the benefit of local community amenities.						
9	What evidence is there of public, tenant and/or user support for the proposal?	The Council's policy of enabling support to the villages is well recognised and supported by members and Parish Councils alike. In most cases, capital projects developed in villages are the result of need being identifies in local surveys and Parish Plans. Parish Councils and community groups are well placed to identify these very local requirements.						
10	Which of the 2009/10 Council Aims will the proposal address and how?	The following Council Aims, Approaches and Actions are addressed through this scheme:- A). Being a Listening Council, providing first class services accessible to all, especially Approach v. and Action 6. B). Ensuring that South Cambridgeshire continues to be a safe and healthy place to live, especially Approaches iii and Actions 5. C). Making South Cambridgeshire a place where residents can feel proud to live.						
11	How will performance indicators be affected?	These grants are reactive to requests so it is difficult to apply pi's. Information is collected on the amount of external funding being attracted as a result of SCDC capital grant aid.						
12	Is this expenditure required to enable the Council to meet a statutory requirement? If so, please give a description of the relevant requirement.	These grants are not a statutory requirement but they help considerably to bring in external funding to villages, allowing communities to continue to develop and enhance village life. The budget has already been cut by £85,200 in 2007/08; these are on-going savings. In addition, there has also been a one-off reduction to the budget of £91,000 in 07/8. Any further cuts would undermine the scheme. As a result, we expect to be unable to meet demand for village projects in 07/8. Guidelines have been amended to ensure that funds are distributed as evenly as possible.						
13	What will be the implications for the Council of not proceeding with the proposed investment?	Capital grants enable many villages to develop local community facilities that meet local need. Without SCDC funding many of these important projects could not happen.						
14	How could the same outcome be achieved without the proposed expenditure?	The same outcome could not be achieved. Other sources of grant aid are reducing and the SCDC contributions are often vital to making projects happen.						
15	Is there likely to be any external funding contribution? If so, from where? (Please attach a copy of any written	All capital projects attract other sources of funding. SCDC grants are generally for 20-50% total project cost, depending on the scale and type of the project. Contributions are made directly to the grant applicants.						

	confirmation)						
16. Contribution (£000s)		2009/10	2010/11	2011/12	Total contribution		
Financial Year in which contribution is expected to be received		Nil to Council	Nil to Council	Nil to Council	Nil to Council		
17. Revenue impact (£000s)		Reason	2009/10	2010/11	2011/12		
Estimated consequential financial impact on net revenue expenditure of the proposal		Additional: income expenditure Reduction in: income expenditure	No revenue implications for SCDC	No revenue implications for SCDC	No revenue implications for SCDC		
		Total for year N/a					
18	Are any revenue changes likely to continue after 2011/12? If so, please complete the attached schedule?	No revenue implications for SCDC. The Council only provides capital grant aid.					
		N/a					
19	Brief description of the reasons for any revenue changes shown in 16						